TO:  PRESIDENT ALBERT W. BROWN
FROM:  THE FACULTY SENATE
RE:  I. Formal Resolution (Act of Determination)
      II. Recommendation (Urging the fitness of)
      III. Other (Notice, Request, Report, etc.)

SUBJECT:  Recommendations for Student Involvement in Governance

The main motion, acceptance of the Student representative proposal as amended by Senator Getz was voted on. 19 Ayes, 6 Nays, 2 Abstentions. A recount was requested, the vote remained the same.
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Resolution
#44 1970-1971
TO: Dr. George Stack  
FROM: Armand Burke  
RE: The Senate proposal recommending student involvement in governance of academic departments and professional certification programs.

In approving this Senate proposal, the president indicated that he had some concern as to how items 5 and 6 in the proposal would be interpreted by the various academic departments. It is his understanding and mine that item 5 provides flexibility in that departments vary in their committee organization and the prerequisites for membership on committees.

It seems logical that committees which have certain prerequisites for membership should be permitted to follow their own internal guidelines, and that the question of whether a student should serve as a voting member on specific committees should be decided within the framework of the policies and guidelines of each department. Therefore, it seems obvious that committees on which all members of the department are not eligible to serve ought not to include student representatives in their membership.

It is my understanding that if this new policy on student representation is recalled at a Faculty-at-Large referendum, the college will use as its policy for student representation the Senate proposal on student involvement approved by the president in 1969, which provides for student representation without voting power.

AG: tomk  
xc: Dr. Brown