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Report of the Ad Hoc Committee
to Study the Faculty's Role,
Rights and Privileges in Matters Relating
to the Rochester Campus

The committee has met on several occasions since its inception
(March 29, 1971). At one of these meetings, Dr. Harold Rekov,
Co-ordinator of Off-Campus Programs and Centers, was present (April
30, 1971). He was presented with a series of questions and asked
to speak to these points.

As a result of these meetings several items of interest were
uncovered and are presented for informational purposes herein:
1. Brockport is presently renting the physical plant at Prince
   Street at a cost of $40,000 per year.
2. A request by Brockport to purchase the building and site was
denied by SUNY.
3. Additional space is to be rented at $6 per square foot.
4. Approximately 1000 square feet will be rented in an adjacent
   building; only one floor of this building will be used.
5. An Instructional Resources and Informational Center will be
   organized.
6. There is no separate budget for operating the Prince Street
   educational program.
7. Parking spaces: 35 places for student and faculty will be
   available soon; additional spaces are planned prior to Sept. 1.
8. Faculty offices: Uncertain status; probably will set up
   "office-interview" spaces.
10. Study Spaces: in future planning.

11. Adequate lighting and soundproofing: no special requirements were requested of Mr. Dengler; therefore, no present plans for improvement.

12. Transportation: presently being considered but no definite commitment for the Fall 1971 semester.

13. Mileage: only $4000 will be available as compensation for travel expenses (Brockport to Rochester).

14. Student enrollment: Approximately 2000 students will be involved in off-campus programs; one half of these will be at the Prince Street Campus.

15. About 15% of Brockport's resources will be needed to handle these 2000 students in off-campus programs.

As a result of the committee's study and findings, we wish to express the following concerns:

1. That it is unfair to expect faculty hired with a Brockport commitment to assume an extra burden of teaching in Rochester.

2. That the multiple use of the buildings by various groups may present serious security problems.

3. That the faculty presently teaching in Rochester feel that they have "second class" citizenship and are "subordinates".

4. That the planning of the present Rochester program was not and is not designed to meet the needs of the faculty or students.

5. That Brockport students are forced into taking Rochester courses by way of closed classes and vague designation of location of class (ex. Prince Street instead of Prince Street, Rochester).
6. That there is no real direction or rationale for most course offerings at Rochester.

7. That the overall effect of the Rochester program will be to weaken instruction on the Brockport Campus.

8. That the rights and privileges of the Faculty are being impaired because of the operation of the Rochester program in its present form.

Therefore,

We recommend that the Senate also express its concern over the operation of the Rochester program in its present form.

We also recommend that the faculty be involved in all future planning of such programs prior to their initiation.

Respectfully submitted by
The Committee
May 17, 1971