Resolution #35, 1982-83

TO: President John E. Van de Wetering

FROM: The Faculty Senate

RE: I. Formal Resolution (Act of Determination)
II. Recommendation (Urging the fitness of)
III. Other (Notice, Request, Report, etc.)

SUBJECT: Report and Resolution on Mission Statement Integration

(see attached)

Signed

Date Sent 5/11/83

(For the Senate)

Bill N. Hess, President, Faculty Senate

TO: The Faculty Senate

FROM: President John E. Van de Wetering

RE: I. Decision and Action Taken on Formal Resolution
   a. Accepted. Effective Date
   b. Deferred for discussion with the Faculty Senate on
   Unacceptable for the reasons contained in the attached explanation

II. III. a. Received and acknowledged
   b. Comment:

DISTRIBUTION: Vice Presidents: [Signatures]
Others: [Signature]

Distribution Date: [Date]
Signed: [Signature] (President of the College)

Date Received by the Senate: [Date]
TO: Senate Executive Committee

FROM: Committee on Long-Range Planning

SUBJECT: Report and Resolution on Mission Statement Integration

It is imperative to integrate the priorities enumerated in the College's Mission Statement, as recently released by the Office of the President, with those of the programs of the schools and departments as set forth in their 1978-1979 mission statement documents. This will necessitate the reconciliation of each of the above (as yet Administratively unapproved) recommendations with the results of the Middle States evaluation.

It is the understanding of the Committee that a large percentage of the work has already been accomplished by the schools and departments in their responses to the Multi-Phase Rolling Plan (phases 3 and 4). These were submitted to the Administration, but to date no response has been provided to the schools and departments. If such feedback were to be forthcoming, it would provide the basis for goal-direction and prioritization of actions.

Such an approach would allow implementation of the College's Mission Statement. Without Administrative reaction we will have, as now, no vehicle and/or criteria for evaluating any practical implementation of our unified college mission.

Further, such an effort, aside from fulfilling internal needs, will provide information needed for the revisitation of the special evaluation group from Middle States in the Fall, 1985.

FURTHERMORE, this Committee moves that:

1. The College Administration respond to the aforementioned planning documents in light of the College's extended Mission Statement;

2. This response, including prioritization of the program objectives and goals, be transmitted to the Faculty in order to provide direction;

3. The objectives, goals and priorities be used as evaluative criteria in assessing the implementation of the College's unified Mission Statement; and
4. The agreed-upon, and institutionally-approved program objectives, goals and priorities be used as the basis for documenting reports to be prepared for the Middle States revisititation scheduled for Fall, 1983.