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GRADUATE CURRICULUM AND POLICIES COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION ON PLUS/MINUS GRADES

WHEREAS a plus/minus grading system allows the faculty member the option of a more precise scale of graduate student evaluation,

and

WHEREAS the consistency of grading systems at the graduate and undergraduate levels will minimize confusion,

THEREFORE be it resolved that effective with the Fall 1991 semester, the options for the graduate grading system will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>GPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highest Level of Graduate Work
Average Level of Graduate Work
Below Average Graduate Work
Failing Graduate Work

S/U and I grades remain as previously defined.