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RESOLUTION ON IDEA (Individual Development and Educational Assessment) IMPLEMENTATION AND ASSESSMENT OF UNDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE LEVEL INSTRUCTION

Whereas:
The Faculty Roles and Rewards Committee recommends: "Replace the current IAS with a reliable and valid vehicle for measuring student evaluation of individual faculty and establish legitimate procedures for its utilization."

And whereas:
The IAS Advisory Council finds that there is widespread dissatisfaction with the current IAS system,

And whereas:
The IAS Advisory Council recommends the Kansas State University IDEA instrument and that after six semesters of use, the IDEA system be reexamined in the context of the College's progress in its continuing efforts in the improvement of instruction and the evaluation of teaching effectiveness,

And whereas:
The IAS Advisory Council recommends that SRI (Student Reaction to Instruction) data should be used only at the departmental level,

Be it resolved that:

IDEA may be accepted as one SRI tool to be used as part of a larger instructional assessment program,

And that:

SRIs should be uniformly administered at the end of the semester and the faculty member should not be present,

And that:

For personnel decisions (DSI, continuing appointment, tenure, promotion) SRI data are to be used only at the departmental level unless a candidate chooses to have SRI data forwarded to higher levels of academic administration.
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RESOLUTION ON IDEA (Individual Development and Educational Assessment)
IMPLEMENTATION AND ASSESSMENT OF UNDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE LEVEL
INSTRUCTION

Whereas:
The Faculty Roles and Rewards Committee recommends: "Replace the current IAS with
a reliable and valid vehicle for measuring student evaluation of individual faculty and
establish legitimate procedures for its utilization."

And whereas:
The IAS Advisory Council finds that there is widespread dissatisfaction with the current
IAS system,

And whereas:
The IAS Advisory Council recommends the Kansas State University IDEA instrument
and that after six semesters of use, the IDEA system be reexamined in the context of the
College's progress in its continuing efforts in the improvement of instruction and the
evaluation of teaching effectiveness,

And whereas:
The IAS Advisory Council recommends that SRI (Student Reaction to Instruction) data
should be used only at the departmental level,

Be it resolved that:
IDEA may be accepted as one SRI tool to be used as part of a larger instructional
assessment program,

And that:
SRIs should be uniformly administered at the end of the semester and the faculty
member should not be present,

And that:
For personnel decisions (DSI, continuing appointment, tenure, promotion) SRI data are
to be used only at the departmental level unless a candidate chooses to have SRI data
forwarded to higher levels of academic administration.
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