

## College-Wide Facilities Planning Committee Meeting Minutes

March 9, 2015

Seymour Union B116

---

---

**Committee Members Present:** Leah Barrett, Chris Bazzie, Peter Dowe, Kim Haines, Scott Haines, Erick Hart, Bob Henry, Craig Ross, Brad Schreiber, Frank Short, Paul Tankel, Wanda Wakefield (Chair), Beau Willis, John Osowski, Rick Smith, Mary Jo Orzech, Karen Riotto, Stefan Friedrich, Jeff Smith.

**Committee Members Absent:** Steve Jurek, Johnna Frosini, Kim Heyward, Susan Stites-Doe, Dana Weiss, Lee Cohen

1. The minutes of 1/26/14 were accepted as submitted.
2. An overview of the North Campus Site Infrastructure project was presented by Greg Lischke. As co-chair of the Project Committee, Mr. Lischke reviewed the list of committee members and the committee charge. He showed the parameters of the project site and briefly discussed the systems being considered for improvement. Mr. Lischke spoke about the different means that the Project Committee will utilize to solicit input from the campus community. He then distributed a map of the north campus and asked the committee members to note their route traveled most often, the area seen as in greatest need of improvement, and the area they see as most attractive. Mr. Henry stressed we consider quality of place as we envision the results of this project. Ms. Wakefield presented information she received after discussions of this area of campus with her students. Other committee members suggested we consider enhancements such as Wi-Fi, improved walkways, enhanced landscape, outdoor space for BASC events, smaller intimate meeting spaces, and open space for informal recreational activities.
3. Mr. Henry opened the discussion on the Alteration/ Capital Request process. Although he sent a list out to the committee more requests were received today and therefore prioritization of projects by the committee will continue at the next meeting with the intent of developing a short list of projects totaling \$100,000 to present to the vice presidents. These projects should not be viewed as summer projects as much of this work will likely take place after this summer and possibly during the year. Ms. Barrett asked for a clarification between capital and alteration projects. Mr. Henry noted the funding for capital projects from SUCF has been decreased to approximately \$6 million a year. He then asked the committee if they viewed any projects as being so critical that they should move forward as soon as possible. Mr. Willis then asked for clarification as to which projects were considered critical maintenance. He then requested the list of alteration projects be categorized (i.e. program, safety, business continuity, critical maintenance). An updated list will be distributed with the capital projects segregated and the committee should prioritize their top 15 alteration projects. These will then be presented to the vice presidents for consideration.

Next CFPC Meeting – April 13, 2015, Union B116